

ECONOMY AND FINANCE

THEME 2 - 56/2002

PRICES AND
PURCHASING POWER
PARITIES

Contents

Purchasing Power Parities and
international volume
comparisons2
D
Per capita GDP volume index in
20012
Purchasing Power Parities for
GDP and selected components
in 2000 and 20014
Price level indices and per
capita volume indices for GDP
and selected components in
2000 and 20015



Manuscript completed on: 15.12.2002 ISSN 1024-4298 Catalogue number: KS-NJ-02-056-EN-N © European Communities, 2002


Purchasing Power Parities and related economic indicators for EU, Acceding and Candidate Countries and EFTA¹

Final results for 2000 and preliminary results for 2001

Silke STAPEL

This article presents final Purchasing Power Parities and related economic indicators for 2000 for the 31 countries(²) participating in the European Comparison Programme (ECP), as well as preliminary results for 2001. The ECP – in which Eurostat closely co-operates with OECD – is aimed at making annual volume comparisons of the main National Accounts aggregates: Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and its components.

Chart 1: Per capita volume index at the level of total GDP 2001 preliminary results, EU-15=100



^{*}Without Malta

⁽¹⁾ Excluding Liechtenstein

⁽²⁾ The 15 EU Member States, the 10 Acceding Countries, the 3 Candidate Countries, Iceland, Norway and Switzerland

Purchasing Power Parities and international volume comparisons

The differences in values of GDP between countries, even when revalued in a common currency using exchange rates, do not only correspond to a "volume of goods and services" component but also to a "level of prices" component, which can sometimes assume sizeable proportions.

Exchange rates are determined by many factors, which reflect demand and supply on the currency markets, such as international trade and interest rate differentials. In other words, exchange rates usually reflect other elements than price differences alone. Therefore, the use of exchange rates as conversion factors in cross-country comparisons is not advisable.

To obtain a pure comparison of volumes, it is essential to use special conversion rates (spatial deflators) which remove the effect of price level differences between countries. Purchasing Power Parities (PPPs) are such currency conversion rates

that convert economic indicators expressed in national currencies to an artificial common currency, that are called Purchasing Power Standard (PPS) In other words, PPPs are used to convert nominal final expenditures on product groups, aggregates and GDP of the different countries taking part in the comparison into comparable volumes, expressed in PPS units.

With the launch of the euro, for the first time, prices can be compared directly between the countries in the euro-zone. However, the euro has different purchasing power in the individual euro-zone countries, depending on the national price levels. Therefore, it is still necessary to calculate PPPs for the establishment of pure volume aggregates in PPS. In other words, for the non-euro-zone countries PPPs are currency converters and eliminate the effects of different price levels, while for the euro-zone countries they only fulfil a price deflator function.

Box 1: How are PPPs calculated and what is PPS?

In their simplest form, PPPs are a set of relative prices, which show the ratio of the prices in national currency of the same good or service in different countries (e.g. a loaf of bread costs 1.87 euro in France, 1.68 euro in Germany, 95 pence in the UK, etc). For the price collections, a basket of comparable goods and services is used, selected as representative of the whole range of goods and services, as well as of consumption patterns in the various countries.

The simple relative prices at product level are subsequently aggregated (weighted together) to PPPs for groups of products, for total consumption and finally for GDP.

To fix a numéraire for the numerical procedure of the PPP calculation, usually one country is used as a base country and set to equal 1. For the European Union the selection of a single country (currency) as a base seemed inappropriate. Therefore, PPS is the artificial common reference currency unit used in the European Union to express the volume of economic aggregates for the purpose of spatial comparisons. Economic volume aggregates in PPS are obtained by dividing their original value in national currency units by the respective PPPs.

Per capita GDP volume index (3) in 2001

The per capita GDP volume indices shown in Chart 1 represent the real volumes of production (GDP values in national currency, converted using PPPs) in per capita terms, expressed in relation to the European Union average. If the per capita GDP volume index of a country is higher than 100, this country's level of production per head of population is higher than the EU average and vice versa. However, these indices are not intended to rank countries strictly. In fact, they only provide an indication of the comparative order of magnitude of the per capita GDP volume in one country in relation to others.

It is therefore preferable to use these indices for dividing countries into groups of a comparable level. In this respect, the 2001 preliminary results presented here highlight the following groups of countries:

Group I (≥125% of the EU average): Norway and Luxembourg;

Group II (≥110% and <125% of the EU average): Austria, Iceland, the Netherlands, Denmark, Switzerland and Ireland;

Group III (≥90% and <110% of the EU average, i.e. close to the EU average): Sweden, France, Italy, United Kingdom, Germany, Finland and Belgium;

⁽³⁾ One of the particularly important uses of PPPs is for the European Commission to establish both the list of regions that could benefit from the EU Structural Funds as well as the amount of funds to be allocated to each region. One criterion for allocating these funds is based on PPP-converted GDP per cpita. The aim of the Structural Funds is to gradually reduce economic disparities between and within EU Member States.



Group IV (≥75% and <90% of the EU average): Spain;

Group V (≥50% and <75% of the EU average): Hungary, Czech Republic, Greece, Slovenia, Portugal and Cyprus;

Group VI (<50% of the EU average): Turkey, Romania, Bulgaria, Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia, Poland and Slovakia.

It is remarkable that almost no country has changed group between 2000 and 2001, and that France, the United Kingdom and Germany have become closer than ever.

The per capita GDP for Luxembourg and Norway are

the highest of all countries participating in the comparison, by some distance. One of the reasons for Luxembourg's high GDP per capita is the large share of cross-border workers in total employment: while contributing to GDP, they are not taken into consideration as part of the resident population which is used to calculate GDP per head. The large difference between the V.I. per capita for Household consumption and GDP is due to the fact that a nonnegligible part of the Luxembourgish exports is accounted for by expenditure on certain products on the Luxembourg territory made by cross-border Norway's results are significantly shoppers. influenced by oil price variations due to its position as a large oil exporter.

Box 2: Revisions of PPP and comparability of data

It has already been mentioned above that the data for 2001 presented in this paper have preliminary character. This preliminary status arises from the regular PPP revision calendar. Preliminary results for every given year are published 12 months after the end of the reference year. At that time, the results of the two consumer price surveys for the reference year as well as preliminary data for all other input data are available. Final data for the same reference year are published 24 months after the end of the reference year. Naturally, once collected the prices for the reference year do not change between the preliminary data and the final data. Yet, all other input data and particularly the NA related data such as the GDP expenditure weights that are needed for aggregating the PPP up to GDP level, for instance, are themselves subject to regular revisions. 24 months after the end of the reference year, however, such other input data have reached a sufficiently final status to allow for the calculation and publication of the final PPP for a given year. This system represents a compromise between user needs, who prefer quick availability of data, and statisticians whose mission is to provide a reliable information based on sufficiently final input data⁽⁴⁾.

This regular revision calendar does, however, not embrace major PPP revisions. These appear with major methodological changes, such as the introduction of new accounting frameworks. For the majority of the ECP participants, the reference year 2000 can be seen as the first year in which the PPP weights taken from National Accounts are fully based on ESA95⁽⁵⁾. The years before 2000 were affected by the gradual introduction of ESA95 since 1995, in different countries at different points in time. Moreover, all Candidate Countries now fully participate in the price surveys according to the survey cycle, while in the past, estimates had to be partly used. The years before 2000, therefore, include a multitude of minor or major breaks in the time series, which negatively affected the comparability over time or even between countries within one given year. To facilitate economic analysis, Eurostat and the ECP participants have decided to revise the PPPs for the years 1995 to 2000 in the light of ESA95. This enormous exercise will be undertaken in the first half of 2003. The publication of first results from the revision is foreseen for October 2003 and a more extended publication with detailed results and methodological explanations early in 2004.

As a consequence of the above described circumstances, currently only the data for 2000 and 2001 are more closely comparable, as they have been compiled following the same methodology, whereas comparability with previous years' data is weaker. This is why no longer time series are given. In addition, users should also be aware that the data for 2000, that have currently final status, will undergo changes in the major revision next year. This is firstly due to the fact that in the framework of the revision methodological decisions may be taken that will affect data for all years currently available. Secondly, the 2000 data will change to the extend they depend, in the framework of the 3-year survey cycle for consumer goods, on extrapolated PPP of 1999 and 1998, which are themselves subject to revision.



- 56/2002 — Theme 2 — **Statistics in focus**

⁽⁴⁾ For the ECP publication calendar 2003, see methodological note on page 7

⁽⁵⁾ ESA95 (European System of Accounts 1995; Commission Regulation (EC) 2223/1996 of 25 June 1996) is the current European methodological framework for compiling National Accounts. Since 1995, it has gradually replaced the former system – ESA79.

Box 3: Maltese results

The data for Malta are not included in this publication. Malta is currently undertaking a revision of its National Accounts in order to adopt ESA95. The revision results are expected to become available in summer 2003. To avoid multiple revisions of data and user confusion, the Maltese statistical office and Eurostat decided not to show the results for 2000 and 2001 before the revision is finalised.

Purchasing Power Parities for GDP and selected components in 2000 and 2001

Table 1 shows the exchange rate to the euro and the PPP at GDP level for 2000 and 2001. In addition to PPPs at GDP level, Table 1 also presents PPPs for the two following components: Household and

NPISH final consumption expenditure and Actual individual consumption. When analysing these PPPs, it appears that they are generally more or less close to that of total GDP.

Table 1: Euro exchange rates and Purchasing Power Parities, in 2000 and in 2001

	20	00 (Final res	sults)		2001 (Preliminary results)				
		Purchasing P	ower Parity (1 P Currency)	PS in National		Purchasing Power Parity (1 PPS in National Currency)			
	Exchange rate to the Euro	GDP	GDP Household and NPISH final consumption expenditure (*) Actual individual consumption (**)		Exchange rate to the Euro	GDP	Household and NPISH final consumption expenditure (*)	Actual individual consumption (**)	
Belgium (BE)	1	0.994520	1.00022	1.00476	1	0.979092	0.983844	0.990534	
Denmark (DK)	7.45382	9.05928	9.03311	9.08495	7.45207	9.27280	9.35858	9.38481	
Germany (DE)	1	1.02691	0.998257	1.01088	1	1.04793	1.02030	1.03099	
Greece (EL)	1	0.753117	0.779556	0.756176	1	0.796929	0.809598	0.784743	
Spain (ES)	1	0.821262	0.827120	0.813768	1	0.829546	0.822250	0.811421	
France (FR)	1	1.02330	1.01724	1.01334	1	1.00661	0.987349	0.988755	
Ireland (IE)	1	1.04071	1.07435	1.04600	1	1.08606	1.12651	1.10434	
Italy (IT)	1	0.874605	0.884367	0.885729	1	0.880228	0.914650	0.911149	
Luxembourg (LU)	1	1.06934	0.974099	1.04699	1	1.09658	1.00432	1.08113	
Netherlands (NL)	1	1.00600	0.993942	0.965773	1	1.00298	0.98635	0.96494	
Austria (AT)	1	0.988303	0.969095	0.978955	1	1.01219	0.980974	0.995721	
Portugal (PT)	1	0.731522	0.723084	0.733879	1	0.743536	0.739665	0.752095	
Finland (FI)	1	1.07831	1.15678	1.14387	1	1.08296	1.16254	1.14909	
Sweden (SE)	8.44519	10.2799	10.7576	10.6682	9.25511	10.7234	11.2357	11.1400	
United Kingdom (UK)	0.609478	0.701340	0.719836	0.714055	0.621874	0.702483	0.715631	0.710287	
EU-15	:	1	1	1	:	1	1	1	
Iceland (IS)	72.5848	90.4116	94.8855	92.3776	87.4173	98.6959	106.453	103.986	
Norway (NO)	8.11292	9.7834	10.4442	10.3393	8.04844	10.0654	10.8375	10.7545	
Switzerland (CH)	1.55786	2.07145	2.11920	2.16134	1.51052	2.10288	2.15059	2.18925	
Cyprus (CY)	0.573924	0.464054	0.470770	0.470385	0.575893	0.487772	0.510843	0.508028	
Czech Republic (CZ)	35.5995	15.2133	16.0440	14.2086	34.0685	15.3475	15.7832	14.1147	
Estonia (EE)	15.6466	7.01593	7.14754	6.30427	15.6466	7.64962	7.89668	6.98072	
Hungary (HU)	260.045	114.716	116.789	103.819	256.591	118.810	123.070	110.245	
Latvia (LV)	0.559227	0.262703	0.296854	0.253813	0.560060	0.260637	0.288551	0.250780	
Lithuania (LT)	3.69516	1.59778	1.72971	1.48299	3.58229	1.53728	1.69491	1.44974	
Poland (PL)	4.00817	2.01804	2.16164	2.00899	3.67214	2.06152	2.21450	2.07140	
Slovakia (SK)	42.6017	16.2184	17.1796	14.6745	43.3001	16.7584	17.9127	15.2491	
Slovenia (SI)	206.613	133.567	136.279	130.493	217.980	141.420	144.245	138.859	
Bulgaria (BG)	1.94792	0.566196	0.617644	0.559967	1.94819	0.652727	0.752350	0.671136	
Romania (RO)	19 921.8	6 775.15	8 020.96	6 993.68	26 004.0	9 265.88	10 526.40	9 226.44	
Turkey (TR)	574 816	323 196	370 000	338 367	1 102 430	497 363	542 264	495 509	

^{*}Household final consumption expenditure refers to consumption of goods and services which households actually <u>paid</u> for. Non-profit institutions serving households (NPISH) final consumption expenditure is the sum of their costs less receipts from sales.

^{**}Actual individual consumption consists of household and NPISH final consumption expenditure plus goods and services for individual consumption provided by the government <u>free of charge or at reduced prices</u>, e.g. in education and health. The latter are goods and services that, while provided by government, are consumed individually by households.



Price level indices and per capita volume indices for GDP and selected components, in 2000 and 2001

For 2000 and 2001, Table 2 shows Price Level Indices (PLIs) and per capita Volume Indices (V.I.) for total GDP and two of its components: Household and NPISH final consumption expenditure, and Actual individual consumption.

PLIs are obtained as the ratio between PPP and the exchange rate for each country. These indices provide a comparison of the countries' Price Levels with respect to the EU average: if the PLI is higher than 100, the country concerned is relatively expensive compared to the EU average. In this case, the use of exchange rates would overestimate the volumes; the opposite is true if the PLI is lower than 100.

The V.I. for Household and NPISH final consumption expenditure and Actual individual consumption in table 2 represent the real volumes of expenditure / consumption (aggregate values in national currency, converted using PPPs) in per capita terms, expressed in relation to the European Union average. If the V.I. of a country and a certain aggregate is higher than 100, it means that in this country the level of expenditure / consumption per head of population is higher than in the average of the EU and vice versa.

It is interesting to compare the V.I. for household and NPISH final consumption <u>expenditure</u> with the one for actual individual <u>consumption</u> in Table 2. The differences between both V.I.s are, relative to the EU average, the goods and services that are provided free of charge or at reduced prices by the government to the households (e.g. for education and health). Big state re-distribution effects in 2001 are particularly noticeable for Denmark, Sweden and Norway. These countries have a V.I. for actual individual consumption, which is, relative to the EU average, at least 10 percentage points higher than the one for their final consumption expenditure.

As already mentioned above, both sets of indices are not intended to rank countries strictly, but to provide the order of magnitude.

Table 2 shows that disparities in the PLI for GDP among EU Member States lie between 74 (Portugal) and 124 (Denmark) in 2001, while they are spread between 34 (Bulgaria) and 139 (Switzerland) when considering all participating countries in the ECP. In 2000, these disparities lay between 73 (Portugal) and 122 (Sweden and Denmark) for the EU-15, and 29 (Bulgaria) and 133 (Switzerland). PLIs and per capita V.I.s for GDP appear to be much less dispersed in EU-15 than in the whole set of 31 countries. This is confirmed by the computation of indicators of dispersion like the coefficient of variation of PLIs, defined as standard deviation divided by mean, on different country groups (EU-15 and the whole set of countries). This coefficient reaches 14.3% in 2000

and 13.5% in 2001 for EU-15, is stable at 25.1% in 2000 and in 2001 for acceding countries, whereas it reaches 38.3% in 2000 and 37.6% in 2001 for the whole set of participating countries.

In EU-15, Portugal and Spain are going closer to the EU average between 2000 and 2001, both in PLIs and per capita V.l.s.

Other countries tend to get closer to the EU average in terms of prices only. Two groups can be distinguished: countries with above average PLIs which are decreasing closer to the EU average, such as France, the Netherlands and especially the UK and Sweden, and countries with PLI below the EU average that tend to go up to the average, like Italy and Greece. In the case of the UK and Sweden, however, it has to be noted that this development is also influenced by the development of the exchange rates, as both countries do not belong to the eurozone. Both countries' currencies have depreciated between 2000 and 2001 what leads to lower PLI. Finally, some countries with per capita V.I.s for GDP above the EU average are getting closer to it: Austria, Denmark, Germany, Luxembourg and Sweden.

These conclusions should be analysed with caution. As no long time series are available, a distinction between structural changes and short-term economic circumstances is not possible.

In the EFTA-3 group (Iceland, Norway and Switzerland), the most significant feature is the very fast decrease in the Prices Level Index of Iceland between 2000 and 2001, however, fully explained by a sharp depreciation of the Icelandic Krona against the euro.

Acceding countries and the three CC all have PLIs well below the EU-15 average, the highest index being that of Cyprus, by some distance (85 in 2001). But all except Latvia, Lithuania and Turkey have experienced rises in their PLIs, getting closer to the EU-15 average. These rises were especially considerable in Poland, Bulgaria and in Estonia. In the case of Turkey, the fall in PLIs has been sharp (-19.8%), mostly as a consequence of the depreciation of the Turkish lira.

Per capita V.I.s for GDP have also risen to the EU-15 average over +4% points in Hungary, around +3% points in the Czech Republic and Slovenia and around 2% points in Latvia and Lithuania.



Table 2: Price level indices and Volume indices per capita in PPS, EU-15=100, for 2000 and 2001

	2000 (Final results)					2001 (Preliminary results)						
	Price level index, EU-15=100			Per capita volume index, EU-15=100			Price level index, EU-15=100			Per capita volume index, EU-15=100		
	GDP	Household and NPISH final consumption expenditure (*)	Actual individual consumption (**)	GDP	Household and NPISH final consumption expenditure (*)	Actual individual consumption (**)	GDP	Household and NPISH final consumption expenditure (*)	Actual individual consumption (**)	GDP	Household and NPISH final consumption expenditure (*)	Actual individual consumption (**)
BE	99	100	100	107	99	102	98	98	99	108	100	103
DK	122	121	122	118	96	108	124	126	126	116	92	105
DE	103	100	101	106	110	107	105	102	103	103	107	105
EL	76	79	77	67	78	72	80	81	78	64	74	69
ES	82	83	81	82	82	81	83	82	81	84	85	83
FR	102	102	101	101	95	99	101	99	99	103	98	102
IE	104	107	105	115	91	91	109	113	110	117	91	
IT	87	88	89	102	104	102	88	91	91	102	101	100
LU	107	97	105	195	150	142		100	108	188	149	
NL	101	99	97	111	96	102	100	99	96	115	99	
AT	99	97	98	114	113	112		98	100	111	112	
PT	73	72	73	70	74	73		74	75	71	74	
FI	108	116	114	104	82	87	108	116	115	104	82	
SE	122	127	126	106	85	98		121	120	102	81	
UK	115	118	117	102	112	110		115	114	103	114	
EUR-12	96	95	95	99	98	98	96	96	96	99	98	98
EU-15	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
IS NO	125	131	127	117	113	122	113	122 135	119	113	102	
CH	121	129 136	127 139	147 120	101	110 110	125 139	142	134 145	143	98	
EFTA-3	128	133	134	130	113	110	133	139	140	127	110	108
CY	81	82	82	75	87	82	85	89	88	74	82	
CZ	43	45	40	56	49	56		46	41	59	53	
EE	45	46	40	40	38	42		50	45	40	37	
HU	44	45	40		43	49		48	43	53	46	
LV	47	53	45	31	29	33		52	45	33	32	
LT	43	47	40	36	36	43		47	40	38	38	
PL	50	54	50	40	41	41	56	60	56	40	42	41
SK	38	40	34	46	42	47	39	41	35	47	43	48
SI	65	66	63	67	62	65	65	66	64	70	62	66
ACCEDING COUNTRIES***	48	51	46	45	43	46	51	55	50	46	44	46
BG	29	32	29	25	28	28	34	39	34	24	25	26
RO	34	40	35	23	24	25	36	40	35	24	26	27
TR	56	64	59	25	27	26	45	49	45	23	26	25
CC-13***	49	57	51	33	34	35	42	46	42	33	34	35

^{*}See table 1

^{**}See table 1
*** Without Malta

ESSENTIAL INFORMATION - METHODOLOGICAL NOTES

Publication calendar 2003

During the calendar year 2003 the following PPP related publications are planned:

- 30. April 2003 Relative prices for Furniture, glassware and tableware
- 23. May 2003 Nowcast of the PPP 2002
- 30. June 2003 Relative prices for services
- 31. October 2003 Revision of the PPP 1995 to 2000 first aggregated results
- 15. December 2003 Final PPP data 2001 and preliminary data 2002
- 19. December 2003 Relative prices for Transport goods

International price and volume comparisons

At international level, Eurostat participates in the "International Comparison Programme" (ICP), which has been running for 30 years. In Europe, Eurostat and the OECD co-operate in the framework of the "European Comparison Programme" (ECP), in which Eurostat annually establishes PPPs for the 15 EU Member States, the 10 Acceding Countries, 3 Candidate Countries and three EFTA countries, Norway, Iceland and Switzerland. A rolling 3-year survey cycle is used for consumer prices. About one third of the consumer goods is surveyed every year, and for the remaining two thirds, suitable consumer price indices are used for extrapolation in the intervening years. Capital goods prices, rents, and GDP weights are collected annually. The same applies to salaries in the government sector, which are used as proxy-PPPs for the respective part of this sector.

For the non-European OECD member countries, OECD partly uses a benchmark-extrapolation approach, with price surveys every third year.

To know more about:

"Purchasing Power Parities and Real Expenditures, 1999 Benchmark Year", OECD 2002



Further information:

Databases

Shop.

New Cronos, Domain: price

PEL CIQUE/PEL CIË	DANMARK	DEUTSCHI AND	FODAÑA	FDANCE	TALLA Dome
BELGIQUE/BELGIË Eurostat Data Shop Bruxelles/Brussel Planistat Belgique Rue du Commerce 124 Handelsstraat 124 B-1000 BRUXELLES / BRUSSEL Tel. (32-2) 234 67 50 Fax (32-2) 234 67 51 E-mail: datashop@planistat.be URL: http://www.datashop.org/	DANMARKS DANMARKS STATISTIK Bibliotek og Information Eurostat Data Shop Sejrogade 11 DK-2100 KØBENHAVN Ø TIF. (45) 39 17 30 30 Fax (45) 39 17 30 03 E-mail: bib@dst.dk URL:: http://www.dst.dk/bibliotek	DEUTSCHLAND STATISTISCHES BUNDESAMT Eurostat Data Shop Berlin Otto-Braun-Straße 70-72 (Eingang: Karl-Marx-Allee) D-10178 BERLIN Tel. (49) 1888 644 94 27/28 Fax (49) 1888 644 94 30 E-Mail: datashop@destatis.de URL:http://www.eu-datashop.de/	ESPAÑA INE Eurostat Data Shop Paseo de la Castellana, 183 Despacho 011B Entrada por Estébanez Calderón E-28046 MADRID Tel. (34-91) 583 91 67/ 583 95 00 Fax (34-91) 583 03 57 E-mail: datashop.eurostat@ine.es URL: http://www.datashop.org/	INSEE Info Service Eurostat Data Shop 195, rue de Bercy Tour Gamma A F-75582 PARIS CEDEX 12 Tél. (33-1) 53 17 88 44 Fax (33-1) 53 17 88 22 E-mail: datashop@insee.fr	ITALIA – Roma ISTAT Centro di Informazione Statistica Sede di Roma, Eurostat Data Shor Via Cesare Balbo, 11a I-00184 ROMA Tel. (39-06) 46 73 31 02/06 Fax (39-06) 46 73 31 01/07 E-mail: dipdiff@istat.it
ITALIA – Milano	LUXEMBOURG	NEDERLAND	NORGE	PORTUGAL	SCHWEIZ/SUISSE/SVIZZER
ISTAT Ufficio Regionale per la Lombardia Eurostat Data Shop Via Fieno 3 I-20123 MILANO Tel. (39-02) 80 61 32 460 Fax (39-02) 80 61 32 304 E-mail: mileuro@tin.it	Eurostat Data Shop Luxembourg 46A, avenue J.F. Kennedy BP 1452 L-1014 LUXEMBOURG Tél. (352) 43 35-2251 Fax (352) 43 35-22221 E-mail: dslux@eurostat.datashop.lu URL: http://www.datashop.org/	STATISTICS NETHERLANDS Eurostat Data Shop-Voorburg Postbus 4000 2270 JM VOORBURG Nederland Tel. (31-70) 337 49 00 Fax (31-70) 337 59 84 E-mail: datashop@cbs.nl	Statistics Norway Library and Information Centre Eurostat Data Shop Kongens gate 6 Boks 8131 Dep. N-0033 OSLO Tel. (47) 21 09 46 42/43 Fax (47) 21 09 45 04 E-mail: Datashop@ssb.no	Eurostat Data Shop Lisboa INE/Serviço de Difusão Av. António José de Almeida, 2 P-1000-043 LISBOA Tel. (351-21) 842 61 00 Fax (351-21) 842 63 64 E-mail: data.shop@ine.pt	Statistisches Amt des Kantons Zürich, Eurostat Data Shop Bleicherweg 5 CH-8909 Zürich Tel. (41-1)225 12 12 Fax (41-1)225 12 99 E-mail: datashog@statistik.zh.ch URL: http://www.statistik.zh.ch
SUOMI/FINLAND	SVERIGE	UNITED KINGDOM	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	-	
STATISTICS FINLAND Eurostat Data Shop Helsinki Tilastokirjasto PL 28 FIN-0002 Tilastokeskus Työpajekatu 13 B, 2 Kerros, Helsinki P, (388-9) 17 34 22 21 F. (358-9) 17 34 22 79 Sähiköposti: datashopgafat.fi URL: http://www.tilastokeskus.fi/tk/kk/datashop Media Support Eurostat (for profess	p/datashop.asp ional journalists only):		HAVER ANALYTICS Eurostat Data Shop 60 East 42nd Street Suite 3310 NEW YORK, NY 10165 USA Tel. (1-212) 986 93 00 Fax (1-212) 986 98 1 E-mail: eurodata@haver.com		
Bech Building Office A4/017 • L-2920 L	uxembourg • Tel. (352) 4301 33408 • Fax	(352) 4301 35349 • e-mail: eurostat-me	diasupport@cec.eu.int		
Fax (352) 4301 33989 Accounts, Tel. (352) 4 Calculations: Serguei	9, E-mail: <u>silke.stapel</u>	<u>@cec.eu.int,</u> L-2920 4301 33879, E-mail: <u>r</u> ian Reinecke Ed	3 – Prices and Purchas Luxembourg; BARCELL oberto.barcellan@ce litorial support: Dominiq mation!	_AN Roberto, ESTAT c.eu.int; O	, unit B2 – National RIGINAL: English
A list of worldwide sales o 2 rue Mercier – L-2985 Luxembourg Tel. (352) 2929 42118 Fax (352) 2929 URL: http://publications.eu.int E-mail: info-info-opoce@ccc.eu.int	BELGIQUE/BE 42709 PORTUGAL – EESTI – HRVA	LGIË – DANMARK – DEUTSCHLAND SUOMI/FINLAND – SVERIGE – UNITE ITSKA – MAGYARORSZÁG – MALTA -	ns of the European Commu - Greece/Ellada - España - Franc 1D Kingdom - Ísland - Norge - Sci • Polska - România - Russia - Slov TH Korea - Thailand - United Stat	CE – IRELAND – ITALIA – LUXEMBO HWEIZ/SUISSE/SVIZZERA – BALGAF /AKIA – SLOVENIA – TÜRKIYE – AU:	RIJA – CESKÁ REPUBLIKA – CYPRUS
I would like to subscribe to (for the Data Shop and sa Formula 1: All 9 the	er form Statistics in focus (from 1.7) les office addresses see abomes (approximately 180 issources) ired: □ DE □ EN □	ues)	containing a selection Language required: I would like a free sul letter on Eurostat pro Language required: Mr	ducts and services DE EN FR Ms	d services) eferences', the information
			Surname:	Forename:	
Theme 1 'Gene Theme 6 'Exter Theme 7 'Trans Theme 9 'Scier Paper: EUR Theme 2 'Econ Theme 3 'Popu Theme 4 'Indus Theme 5 'Agric Paper: EUR	mal trade' sport' nce and technology' 42 somy and finance' slation and social conditions' stry, trade and services sulture and fisheries' conment and energy	,	Company: Function: Address: Post code: Country: Tel.: E-mail: Payment on receipt of in Bank transfer Visa Euroca Card No: Please confirm your intra	Department: Town: Fax: voice, preferably by: rd Expires of	on:/
	downloaded (pdf file) free of		If no number is entered, VA	T will be automatically appl	
	to register. For other solu		reimbursement will not be p		•