

of the Regions

SEDEC-VI/044

132nd plenary session, 5-6 December 2018

OPINION

Digital Europe programme (2021-2027)

THE EUROPEAN COMMITTEE OF THE REGIONS

- welcomes the European Commission's legislative proposal for the new Digital Europe programme (DEP). This is the first time that the Commission has proposed a package integrating digitalisation, research and innovation into all major EU programmes and into European wide societal development. The key role of local and regional authorities in implementing the DEP should be considered in all measures to speed up the development of the Digital Single Market, (DSM);
- when establishing the network of Digital Innovation Hubs, it is important to have sufficient coverage for all regions; the target is to have a DIH in every region. Providing the possibility of a consortium running the DIH would strengthen the base of the network by involving several stakeholders, such as universities, research centres, innovation centres etc.;
- welcomes DEP investments in advanced high-capacity digital infrastructure such as 5G networks, which are necessary to enable the deployment of digital services and technologies everywhere in Europe. Broadband has an instrumental role in developing innovative and competitive digital services, for which reason the CoR calls for a quick 5G standardisation to ensure the interoperability of telecommunication networks;
- stresses the importance of artificial intelligence (AI) as a promising technology to be applied for sustainable growth and societal challenges. AI therefore has to be strengthened, ensuring the quality of data and securing personal privacy, while still allowing anonymous data mining, machine-based learning and the foundation for pattern recognition;
- calls for building digital platforms by creating generic reusable solutions in digital authentication, trust and secure services.

Rapporteur

Markku Markkula (FI/EPP), Member of the Espoo City Council

Reference documents

Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing the Digital Europe programme for the period 2021-2027 COM(2018) 434 final

Commission Staff Working Document Executive Summary of the Impact Assessment accompanying the document Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing the Digital Europe programme SWD(2018) 306 final

Commission Staff Working Document Impact Assessment accompanying the document Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing the Digital Europe programme for the period 2021-2027 SWD(2018) 305 final

Opinion of the European Committee of the Regions – Digital Europe programme (2021-2027)

I. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR AMENDMENTS

Amendment 1

Proposal for a Regulation Article 2 – point (e)

Text proposed by the Commission	CoR amendment
(e) 'Digital Innovation Hub' means legal entity	(e) 'Digital Innovation Hub' means legal entity or
designated or selected in an open and competitive	a consortium of legal entities designated or
procedure in order to fulfil the tasks under the	selected in an open and competitive procedure in
Programme, in particular providing access to	order to fulfil the tasks under the Programme, in
technological expertise and experimentation	particular providing access to technological
facilities, such as equipment and software tools to	expertise and experimentation facilities, such as
enable the digital transformation of the industry.	equipment and software tools to enable the digital
	transformation of the industry.

Reason

When establishing the network of Digital Innovation Hubs, it is important to have sufficient coverage for all regions; the target is to have a DIH in every region. Providing the possibility of a consortium running the DIH would strengthen the base of the network by involving several stakeholders, such as universities, research centres, innovation centres etc.

The evaluation procedure should ensure that the network remains both regionally and thematically well-balanced, while being able to deliver high-quality services. The selection procedure for the candidate entities should be conducted from the point of view of the whole network so that effective synergies between the DIHs can be created. This is another good reason to provide the opportunity for a consortium of legal entities to run a DIH.

The legal entity requirement imposes unnecessary constraints, which is why more flexibility needs to be given to practical arrangements. To stress lean structures, coordination could also be assigned to a legal entity in a consortium or a network of legal entities.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a Regulation Article 3 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission	CoR amendment
The Programme has the following general	The Programme has the following general
objective: to support the digital transformation of	objective: to support the digital transformation of
the European economy and society and bring its	the European economy and society at local,
benefits to European citizens and businesses. The	regional, national and European level and bring
Programme will:	its benefits to European citizens and businesses.
(a) reinforce Europe's capacities in key digital	The Programme will:

technology areas through large-scale deployment,	(a) reinforce Europe's capacities in key digital
(b) widen their diffusion and uptake in areas of	technology areas through large-scale deployment,
public interest and the private sector.	(b) widen their diffusion and uptake in areas of
	public interest and the private sector,
	(c) speed up digital transformation by
	increasing local collaboration and European
	partnerships.

Reason

Based on the subsidiarity principle the DEP needs to cover multi-level governance. The outcomes of the DEP can be reached by effective implementation at the level of cities and regions, with close collaboration between universities, other educational establishments and research institutions as well as with local industries. Multi-level governance is important in order to close the European innovation divide. As an example, EU wide high performance computing ecosystems may be scaled with the help of the DEP to cover all scientific and industrial value chain segments.

Amendment 3

Proposal for a Regulation Article 5 – point (d) new and (e) new

Text proposed by the Commission	CoR amendment
Artificial Intelligence	Artificial Intelligence
The financial intervention by the Union under	The financial intervention by the Union under
Specific Objective 2. Artificial Intelligence shall	Specific Objective 2. Artificial Intelligence shall
pursue the following operational objectives:	pursue the following operational objectives:
	(d) improve the quality and amount of data to
	support and speed up the digital transformation
	creating a digital platform economy;
	(e) scale up the use of technologies, develop
	innovative business models, increase awareness
	of users and shorten the time from innovation to
	market;

Reason

The transformation to the platform economy is an outcome of digitalisation. We need to create favourable conditions for tackling this and other major societal challenges. The changing role of cities, citizens and of the business world needs to be taken into account.

In this development, the necessary quality and amount of data is essential. Firstly, we need to provide the right metrics to measure data quality. Secondly, algorithms can be developed to assess data quality, detect data outliers not to be used in the analysis and correct information with a view to getting more robust responses from algorithms. Thirdly, data quality can be improved by measures to enhance the completeness, comparability and the timelines of data flows to be used in AI-based digital services at national and subnational government level.

These measures are not explicitly mentioned in the DEP, although a reference to data integrity and data confidentiality is contained in the text and there are references to the general role of software and

algorithms libraries.

Data quality and integrity must also be complemented by measures to preserve the integrity of individuals' rights to their information and to ensure quality in terms of the ability to maintain an adequate level of security for information and personal data.

Amendment 4 Proposal for a Regulation Article 7

Text proposed by the Commission	CoR amendment
The financial intervention by the Union under	The financial intervention by the Union under
Specific Objective 4. Advanced Digital skills	Specific Objective 4. Advanced Digital skills shall
shall support the development of advanced digital	support the development of advanced digital skills
skills in areas supported by this programme, thus	in areas supported by this programme and in a
contributing to increase Europe's talent pool,	gender responsive manner, thus contributing to
fostering greater professionalism, especially with	increase Europe's talent pool, fostering greater
regard to high performance computing, big data	professionalism, especially with regard to high
analytics, cybersecurity, distributed ledger	performance computing, big data analytics,
technologies, robotics and artificial intelligence.	cybersecurity, distributed ledger technologies,
The financial intervention shall pursue the	robotics and artificial intelligence. The financial
following operational objectives:	intervention shall pursue the following operational
a.) support the design and delivery of long-term	objectives:
trainings and courses for students, IT	a.) support the design and delivery of long-term
professionals and the workforce;	trainings and courses for students, IT
b.) support the design and delivery of short-term	professionals and the workforce;
trainings and courses for entrepreneurs, small	b.) support the design and delivery of short-term
business leaders and the workforce;	trainings and courses for entrepreneurs, small
c.) support on-the-job trainings and traineeships	business leaders and the workforce;
for students, young entrepreneurs and graduates.	c.) support on-the-job trainings and traineeships
	for students, young entrepreneurs and graduates.

Reason

It is important to ensure that the digital skills formation system of tomorrow also takes into account the gender perspective, ensuring an inclusive digital society of tomorrow.

Amendment 5

Proposal for a Regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 – points (a), (g) and (j) new

Text proposed by the Commission	CoR amendment
Deployment, best use of digital capacities and	Deployment, best use of digital capacities and
Interoperability	Interoperability
The financial intervention by the Union under	The financial intervention by the Union under
Specific Objective 5. Deployment, best use of	Specific Objective 5. Deployment, best use of
digital capacities and Interoperability shall	digital capacities and Interoperability shall

achieve the following operational objectives:
(a) ensure that the public sector and areas of
public interests, such as health and care,
education, judiciary, <i>urban planning</i> , transport,
energy, natural resources, forestry, food,
environment, cultural and creative sectors, can
deploy and access state-of-the-art digital
technologies, in particular high performance
computing, artificial intelligence, <i>information</i>
<i>security</i> and cybersecurity;
(g) ensure a continuous capacity <i>at regional</i> ,
national and European level to observe, analyse
and adapt to fast-evolving digital trends, as well
as co-creating new digitalised solutions, sharing
best practices and mainstreaming the bench-
learning culture;
(j) integrate the deployment activities of the
Digital Europe programme to regional smart
specialisation strategies, Horizon Europe and
other major European initiatives and
partnerships.

Reason

Urban planning, forestry and food need to be included in the list under point (a), since they are important for all societal development. Smart and sustainable digital solutions in the fields of sustainable management of urban development, natural resources, food production and forestry play a strategic role in tackling various environmental challenges related to climate change.

As the CoR has stated in its current term 2015-2020 priorities, a bottom-up approach, entrepreneurial mindset and targeted investments are needed in implementing the fully-functioning Digital Single Market.

Amendment 6

Proposal for a Regulation Article 13 – paragraph 3 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission	CoR amendment
Synergies with other Union programmes	Synergies with other Union programmes
	3. The programme will support the regional and
	European collaboration and partnerships to
	scale up innovative digital solutions and ensure
	synergies with regional strategies.

Reason	
The CoR points out that the impact assessment makes numerous references to EU policies and	
programmes such as the ERDF, ESF+, CEF etc. that are essential for reaching the DEP aims and	

objectives. The synergic use of EU instruments and local/regional mechanisms and funding is heavily stressed in the EU policy. However, there are no clear procedures and mechanisms in place in this DEP to address how to arrange the interplay between these instruments at all levels of government. There is no reference to partnership or MLG provisions. Thus, the important role of regions needs to be included in this article. The EU Commission in its policy highlights the crucial role of regional smart specialisation strategies as a natural instrument for increasing regional level collaboration and European partnerships. This needs to be supported by the DEP.

Amendment 7

Proposal for a Regulation Article 16 – paragraphs 1, 2, 3 and 4

Text proposed by the Commission	CoR amendment
Digital Innovation Hubs	European Digital Innovation Hubs
1. During the first year of the implementation of	1. During the first year of the implementation of
the Programme, an initial network of Digital	the Programme, an initial network of European
Innovation Hubs shall be established.	Digital Innovation Hubs shall be established. The
2. For the purpose of the establishment of the	Hubs in this network have a strong regional role
network mentioned in paragraph 1, each Member	to increase European collaboration.
State shall designate candidate entities through an	2. For the purpose of the establishment of the
open and competitive process, on the basis of the	network mentioned in paragraph 1, each Member
following criteria:	State shall designate candidate entities through an
(a) appropriate competences related to the	open and competitive process, on the basis of the
functions of the Digital Innovation Hubs;	following criteria:
(b) appropriate management capacity, staff and	(a) appropriate competences related to the
infrastructure;	functions of the Digital Innovation Hubs,
(c) operational and legal means to apply the	including capacity and competences with respect
administrative, contractual and financial	to R&D, infrastructure, data protection, security
management rules laid down at Union level;	and innovation;
(d) appropriate financial guarantees, issued	(b) appropriate management capacity, staff and
preferably by a public authority, corresponding to	infrastructure;
the level of Union funds it will be called upon to	(c) operational and legal means to apply the
manage.	administrative, contractual and financial
	management rules laid down at Union level;
3. The Commission shall adopt a decision on the	(d) appropriate financial guarantees, issued
selection of entities forming the initial network.	preferably by a public authority, corresponding to
These entities shall be selected by the	the level of Union funds it will be called upon to
Commission from candidate entities designated	manage;
by Member States on the basis of the criteria	(e) alignment with regional strategies.
mentioned in paragraph 2 and the following	
additional criteria:	3. The Commission shall adopt a decision on the
(a) the budget available for the financing of the	selection of entities forming the initial network.
initial network;	These entities shall be selected by the Commission
(b) the need to ensure by the initial network a	from candidate entities designated by Member
coverage of the needs of industry and areas of	States on the basis of the criteria mentioned in paragraph 2 and the following additional criteria:

public interest and a comprehensive and balanced	(a) the budget available for the financing of the
geographical coverage.	initial network;
	(b) the need to ensure by the initial network a
4. Additional Digital Innovation Hubs shall be	coverage of the needs of industry and areas of
selected on the basis of an open and competitive	public interest and a comprehensive and balanced
process, in such a way to ensure the widest	geographical coverage throughout the EU and
geographical coverage across Europe. The	Member States.
number of entities of the network shall be	
proportional to the population of a given Member	4. Additional Digital Innovation Hubs shall be
States and there shall be at least one Digital	selected on the basis of an open and competitive
Innovation Hub per Member State. To address	process, in such a way to ensure the widest
the specific constraints faced by the EU	geographical coverage across <i>regions in</i> Europe.
outermost regions, specific entities may be	The number of entities of the network shall be
nominated to cover their needs.	proportional to the population of a given Member
	States and there shall be at least one Digital
	Innovation Hub per Member State. To address the
	specific constraints faced by the EU sparsely
	populated and outermost regions, specific entities
	may be nominated to cover their needs.

Reason

In terms of speeding up the digital transformation, DIHs not only develop but also deliver and help to deploy innovative technologies in public administration and private industries, as well as supporting the changing role of cities and regions. In addition to providing access to these solutions, DIHs could help to build adequate capacity for the different actor groups to implement innovative technology solutions in their digital platforms and support the design of specific digital service infrastructure making use of data analytics services. For these reasons, the strong European nature of DIHs needs to highlighted and these Hubs should be named European Digital Hubs.

Capacity and competences in validating technologies and the use of latest R&D knowledge are essential criteria for selecting the best candidate entities.

The impact assessment clearly states that the DIHs shall have a strong regional dimension (particularly for SMEs). However, this is not reflected in the legislative proposal. The success of DIHs should be built on effective regional collaboration, based on regional strategies such as RIS3.

When establishing the network of DIHs, it is important to have sufficient coverage for all regions; the target is to have a DIH in every region.

The evaluation procedure should ensure that the network remains both regionally and thematically well-balanced, while being able to deliver high-quality services. The selection procedure for candidate entities should be conducted from the point of view of the whole network so that effective synergies between the DIHs can be created.

Amendment 8

Proposal for a Regulation

Article 20 - paragraph 1 - points (d) and (e) new

Text proposed by the Commission	CoR amendment
Award criteria	Award criteria
The award criteria shall be defined in the work	The award criteria shall be defined in the work
programmes and in the calls for proposals, taking	programmes and in the calls for proposals, taking
into account at the minimum the following	into account at the minimum the following
elements:	elements:
(a) the maturity of the action in the project	(a) the maturity of the action in the project
development;	development;
(b) the soundness of the implementation plan	(b) the soundness of the implementation plan
proposed;	proposed;
(c) the stimulating effect of Union support on	(c) the stimulating effect of Union support on
public and private investment, when applicable;	public and private investment, when applicable;
(d) the need to overcome financial obstacles	(d) synergic use of different financing
such as the lack of market finance;	instruments and the need to overcome financial
(e) where applicable, the economic, social,	obstacles such as the lack of market finance;
climate and environmental impact, and	(e) where applicable, integration of the project
accessibility;	with regional strategies;
(f) where applicable, a trans-European	(f) where applicable, the economic, social,
dimension;	climate and environmental impact, and
(g) where applicable, a balanced geographical	accessibility;
distribution across the Union, including the	(g) where applicable, a trans-European
outermost regions;	dimension;
(h) where applicable, the presence of a long-	(h) where applicable, a balanced geographical
term sustainability plan.	distribution across the Union, including the
	outermost regions;
	(i) where applicable, the presence of a long-term
	sustainability plan.

Reason

The CoR highlights that 70% of EU legislation is implemented at local and regional level and emphasises the synergies between different EU programmes, financial instruments and regional strategies. Regional Smart Specialisation Strategies have proved to be useful and important instruments for economic transformation and for the sharing of best practices through European partnerships.

II. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

THE EUROPEAN COMMITTEE OF THE REGIONS

1. welcomes the European Commission's legislative proposal for the new Digital Europe programme (DEP). This is the first time that the Commission has proposed a package integrating digitalisation, research and innovation into all major EU programmes and into

European wide societal development. The key role of local and regional authorities in implementing the DEP should be considered in all measures to speed up the development of the Digital Single Market, (DSM);

- 2. points out the crucial role of people, businesses and skills in maximising the benefits to be gained from the DSM. In relation to the implementation of the DEP, the CoR stresses that completion of the EU DSM also needs a clear and stable legal environment and favourable conditions to stimulate innovation, tackle market fragmentation and allow all players to tap into the new market dynamics;
- 3. stresses the crucial role of the DEP, becoming a robust investment and development programme to capitalise the opportunities needed and created to achieve a fully-functioning DSM. The key question is how to make the DEP to be so attractive that cities and regions with their industries, universities and citizens speed up the European wide digital and economic transformation by considerably increasing public and private investment in human and physical capital;
- 4. highlights the importance of removing regulatory barriers, cutting red-tape and modernizing EU regulation, which are key factors in ensuring a highly competitive European industry, coupled with the need to improve the readiness of the public and private sectors to execute digital innovations;
- 5. welcomes DEP investments in advanced high-capacity digital infrastructure such as 5G networks, which are necessary to enable the deployment of digital services and technologies everywhere in Europe. Broadband has an instrumental role in developing innovative and competitive digital services, for which reason the CoR calls for a quick 5G standardisation to ensure the interoperability of telecommunication networks;
- 6. stresses the pivotal role of cities and regions in providing digital services for citizens, as well as in creating and managing digital infrastructure such as data generation. Digital services offer opportunities for societal innovation, entrepreneurship and job and business creation;
- 7. requests that the DEP reflect and ensure the digitalisation of public administrations and services in order to enable cities to tackle societal needs. This requires EU-wide interoperability and access to data, technology and know-how;
- 8. emphasises the importance of the quality and amount of data, which is essential for reaching the DEP targets. Previous CoR opinions have stressed the role of cities and regions in data harmonisation, collection, quality, access and use, as well as in ensuring a secure and interoperable digital infrastructure for cross-border data flows in the digital economy;
- 9. stresses the importance of artificial intelligence (AI) as a promising technology to be applied for sustainable growth and societal challenges. AI therefore has to be strengthened, ensuring the quality of data and securing personal privacy, while still allowing anonymous data mining, machine-based learning and the foundation for pattern recognition;

- 10. recognises that AI libraries with data provide key input for the design of intelligent public services. However, data of poor quality may affect the expected impact and effectiveness of services, thereby lowering the potential benefit of AI. High-quality data is essential to enable public administrations to design, implement and monitor the impact of the policies they adopt, on the basis of empirical evidence and making use of data analytics capabilities. This would strengthen the transparency and accountability of public action and help enhance policy effectiveness. Data will have a huge influence in creating a culture of knowledge, in which evidence becomes the foundation of a smarter and citizen-centric administration and policy-making process;
- 11. calls for the proper integration of several ongoing data economy and data driven society measures into the DEP. These have been dealt with under the Digital Single Market in the various data packages: on Building the European Data Economy (COM(2017/9), the Framework for the free flow of non-personal data in the European Union (2017/0228) and, this year, with the 3rd Data Package. The latter includes a Communication "Towards a common European data space" which addresses the issue of access to private sector data for public interest purposes (with a list of key principles on business-to-government or "B2G" data sharing) and includes a guidance document on sharing private sector data. In parallel, the Commission has adopted a proposal for a recast of the Directive on the re-use of public sector information (PSI directive);
- 12. highlights the results of the Commission stakeholder dialogue on the Communication on Building a European Data Economy, especially finding strong support for non-regulatory measures to maximise and organise access to and the reuse of data in B2B contexts. As an example on the digital transformation of healthcare, a public consultation investigated the need for policy measures promoting digital innovation to improve the quality of healthcare throughout Europe (a need that has received particular attention from the CoR);
- 13. calls for the use of Joint Undertakings, EIT KICs and other EU initiatives, as well as European Partnerships between regions, as mechanisms to implement the DEP. Smart and sustainable digital solutions play a key role in achieving local and regional level targets on sustainable development and in tackling major societal challenges like climate change. The solutions require high-quality HPC computing, AI based solutions and cyber security. Cities and regions should be encouraged to become forerunners in participating in the DEP, especially as a spatial testing ground for new applications. As an example, digitalisation has a growing importance in urban planning, especially through regional information modelling;
- 14. notes that the full benefits from investing in digital technologies and platforms need to be scaled up to European level. Investing in talented professionals is a necessary prerequisite, even though it is not sufficient on its own. Citizens need to be trained and equipped with digital proper skills. Special measures are needed on retraining of professionals to apply their specific competences to new digitalised tasks. In European education systems, providing young learners with advanced digital skills is an obligatory investment to safeguard the quality of the future workforce for Europe. The growing importance of STEAM (science, technology, engineering, arts, mathematics) education is evident. The implementation of the DEP needs to be operationalised in synergy with Digital Education Action Plan;

- 15. stresses the importance of the transformation to a digital platform economy by ensuring that the provision of public and private services is user-centric, digital and interoperable by design, and compliant with the once-only principle with regard to national, regional and local governments;
- 16. recognises that government digital platforms are not explicitly mentioned in the DEP legal text. However, the concept of these platforms is linked to Objective 5 of the programme, related to the interoperability and implementation of digital technologies by government and the private sector. This is also spelled out more in Annex 2 with respect to activities linked to the interoperability of public administration services, the application of the once-only principle and digital service infrastructure;
- 17. calls for building digital platforms by creating generic reusable solutions in digital authentication, trust and secure services. These are combined with advanced reusable solutions based on data-driven methods powered by artificial intelligence. This will help to ensure that public services are interconnected across policy domains and levels of government. It also helps government services to be smarter, tailored to the specific needs of users and available on web and mobile platforms;
- 18. recommends that the cross-border use of digital technologies be combined with removing legal and other obstacles to this cooperation, here the CoR refers to Article 8 on "Deployment, best use of digital capacities and Interoperability";
- 19. emphasises the importance of establishing the network of DIHs with sufficient coverage for all regions. While the impact assessment clearly states that the DIHs shall have a strong regional dimension (particularly for SMEs), this is not reflected in the legislative proposal. The selection of DIHs should be an open and reliable process, which includes not only one DIH, but a network of DIHs in each Member State, if relevant. DIHs should be selected nationally and in a regionally and thematically balanced way. The network of DIHs should have close links to other networks such as EIT Digital and Enterprise Europe Network;
- 20. highlights the importance of artificial intelligence, and the links to extended Reality (XR), VR, AR, 3D technologies and robotics, which will form a new basis for global business, the platform economy and learning platforms. This helps to deliver equal access to various educational and cultural content and to create innovative knowledge transfer platforms for the retraining of workers. Furthermore, it will support sustainable development, since it significantly reduces the need for physical goods, travel and carbon emissions;
- 21. stresses the importance and the role of security in the digital domain and highlights the role of cities and regions in tackling cybercrime and protecting data security
- 22. welcomes provisions on third countries associated to the programme, especially in embedding digitalisation in activities to reaching the targets of the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs). This means emphasising the added-value through collaboration mentality and openness in innovation and deployment. In focusing sustainable

growth, the specific nature of ICT revolution allows the EU to achieve a strong global role on technology knowhow for prosperity;

23. acknowledges that the Commission's proposal complies with the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality.

Brussels, 5 December 2018

The President of the European Committee of the Regions

Karl-Heinz Lambertz

The Secretary-General of the European Committee of the Regions

Jiří Buriánek

III. PROCEDURE

Title	Digital Europe programme 2021-2027
Reference(s)	COM(2018) 434 final
Legal basis	Article 307 TFEU
Procedural basis	Rule 41a)
Date of Commission letter or Council/EP	25 June 2018
referral	
Date of President's attribution/	14 June 2018
Decision of the Bureau	
Commission responsible	Commission for Social Policy, Education,
	Employment, Research and Culture (SEDEC)
Rapporteur	Markku Markkula (FI/EPP)
Analysis	2 July 2018
Discussed in commission	18 October 2018
Date adopted by commission	18 October 2018
Result of the vote in commission	unanimity
(majority, unanimity)	
Date adopted in plenary	5 December 2018
Previous Committee opinions	Digital Agenda for Europe ¹
	Digital Single Market ²
	Digitising European Industry ³
	Building a European Data Economy ⁴
	Digital Single Market Mid-term review ⁵
Date of Subsidiarity Monitoring	
Consultation and/or Territorial Impact	
Assessment (where appropriate)	

- 2 COR-2015-02646-00-00-AC-TRA
- ³ COR-2016-02884-00-01-PA-TRA
- 4 COR-2017-00853-00-01-AC-TRA
- 5 COR-2017-03224-00-00-PAC-TRA

¹ CdR 104/2010