Statistics

in focus

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

THEME 9 – 5/2004

Contents

1. Growth and innovation	1
2. Sources of innovation	2
3. Innovation co-operation.	3
4. Intramural research and development (R&D)	4
5. Innovation funding	5

o. muman i	
innovation	6



Manuscript completed on: 09.01.2004 ISSN 1609-5995 Catalogue number: KS-NS-04-005-EN-N © European Communities, 2004

Sources and resources for EU innovation

Paul Crowley

The third round of the Community Innovation Survey (CIS3) provides data on, among other subjects, the sources and resources used by enterprises in their innovation activities. These are the main focus of this publication, which concentrates on an EU aggregate that has been created from the data for 12 Member States (excluding Ireland, Luxembourg and the United Kingdom for reasons of availability). When reference is made to the EU in the text, it is to this aggregate for the 12 Member States, rather than the EU as a whole.

The main objectives are:

- to explore the link between innovation and growth;
- to identify the main sources of innovation, including co-operation;
- to measure the extent of public funding, with respect to innovation;
- and to study human capital and innovation.

1. Growth and innovation

While there were fewer enterprises with innovation activity (201 000) than there were enterprises without such activity (256 000) in the EU in 2000, enterprises with innovation activity had a larger workforce (25 million employees against 10 million). These figures support the view that innovation is more frequently carried out among relatively large enterprises. Note that only enterprises with 10 or more employees were included in the target population of CIS3.

Employment growth was higher among enterprises with innovation activity as opposed to those without such activity. Indeed, this was the case in both industrial and service activities. A sectoral breakdown shows that in general employment grew somewhat faster in the services sector than in industry between 1998 and 2000. This observation was true across all types of innovator for which a complete set of data is available (see table 1).

Table 1:	Employment	growth in t	he EU, by	type of	enterprise
----------	------------	-------------	-----------	---------	------------

	Employees,	Employee	growth 1998 to	2000 (%)
	2000 (000)	All	Industry	Services
All enterprises	35 284	7	5	9
Enterprises with innovation activity	25 279	8	7	11
Successful	:	:	7	:
Product only	3 937	8	5	14
Process only	:	:	4	:
Product and process	17 746	9	8	11
On-going and/or abandoned	:	:	2	:
Enterprises without innovation activity	10 006	4	2	6

		In	dustry		Services						
	Enterprises	Propo	ortion of er	nterprises v	vith	Enterprises	Proportion of enterprises with				
	with	innovat	ion activity	/ indicating	that	with	innovati	on activit	y indicating	g that	
	innovation	select	ed source	s were of h	igh	innovation	selecte	ed source	s were of h	nigh	
	activity	import	ance for n	ew project	s or	activity	importa	ance for r	new project	s or	
	(absolute	impleme	enting exis	ting projec	ts (%)	(absolute	impleme	nting exis	ting projec	ts (%)	
	figures)	All	Small	Medium	Large	figures)	All	Small	Medium	Large	
Within the enterprise	47 633	37	32	40	70	28 638	40	37	41	69	
Other enterprises within the enterprise group	8 776	7	3	10	28	9 622	13	9	23	38	
Suppliers of equip., material, components or software	25 336	19	19	17	29	14 014	20	19	19	29	
Clients or customers	34 465	27	22	32	51	22 079	31	32	25	37	
Competitors and other enterprises from the same industry	13 801	11	9	13	21	9 978	14	14	13	22	
Universities/higher education institutes	5 122	4	3	4	11	4 269	6	6	6	6	
Government/non-profit research institutes	3 491	3	2	3	7	2 098	3	3	4	3	
Conferences; meetings; journals	11 399	9	8	9	15	10 660	15	15	15	18	
Fairs and exhibitions	22 697	17	17	18	20	9 956	14	15	9	10	

Table 2: Main sources of information for innovation in the EU, by sector and by size-class, 1998-2000

2. Sources of innovation

Table 3: Main sources of information for innovation in the EU, by sector and by type of innovator, 1998-2000

		Industry							Servi	ces		
	Number of	l in s ir im	Proportion novation selected s mportance plementir	n of ent activity sources ce for ne	erprises w indicating were of h w projects	ith that gh s or s (%)	Number of	Proportion of enterprises with innovation activity indicating that selected sources were of high importance for new projects or implementing existing projects (%)				
	innovation activity (absolute	All	Prod.	Proc.	Product and	On- going and/or aban- doned	innovation activity (absolute	All	Prod.	Proc.	Product and	On- going and/or aban- doned
Within the enterprise	47 633	37	47	31	35	32	28 638	40	47	:	34	:
Other enterprises within the enterprise group	8 776	7	8	7	6	6	9 622	13	14	:	13	:
Suppliers of equip., material, components or software	25 336	19	18	31	17	12	14 014	20	21	:	16	:
Clients or customers	34 465	27	40	18	25	21	22 079	31	37	:	28	:
Competitors and other enterprises from the same industry	13 801	11	16	8	9	11	9 978	14	15	:	13	:
Universities/higher education institutes	5 122	4	5	2	4	7	4 269	6	3	:	6	:
Government/non-profit research institutes	3 491	3	4	2	2	3	2 098	3	2	:	3	:
Conferences; meetings; journals	11 399	9	13	10	7	6	10 660	15	14	:	15	:
Fairs and exhibitions	22 697	17	24	21	14	11	9 956	14	16	:	12	:



3. Innovation co-operation

The CIS survey looked at whether or not enterprises with innovation activity actively participated with other enterprises or non-commercial institutions in joint R&D or other innovation projects. The definition of co-operation used in CIS3 does not imply that both partners derived immediate commercial benefit from their ventures.

Table 4 provides information on the most frequent partners for innovation co-operation, broken down by geographical location and the type of co-operation partner.

It would appear that enterprises with innovation activity have a higher propensity to engage in joint innovation ventures with their business partners, especially their suppliers (upstream) and their clients and customers (downstream) than with other types of partners. However joint projects with enterprises within the same enterprise group or with universities were also relatively frequent, while commercial laboratories or R&D enterprises were the least frequently used innovation partners.

Co-operation projects were most often with national partners. When co-operation extended outside of the EU and EFTA, it was mainly with enterprises and organisations that were located in the United States, rather than Japan or the candidate countries.

CIS3 reveals that large enterprises were more likely than smaller enterprises to set up co-operation arrangements. Indeed, some 61 % of large industrial enterprises with innovation activity and 47 % of large services enterprises with innovation activity had some form of cooperation. The corresponding shares for small enterprises were 11 % and 18 % respectively.

There were few differences between industrial and services enterprises as regards their propensity to cooperate. Some 17 % of industrial enterprises with innovation activity had some form of co-operation, while the corresponding share in services was 22 %. The largest difference was reported for process only innovators, 21 % of which in services had some form of cooperation compared to 9 % in the industrial economy.

Table 4: Incidence of innovation co-operation in the EU, by partner and by location: proportion of enterprises with in	novation
activity indicating co-operation with specified partners in specified locations, 1998-2000 (%)	

	Location										
Partner	National	EU or EFTA countries	Candidate countries	US	Japan	Other					
Other enterprises within the enterprise group	23	13	2	6	1	2					
Suppliers	36	16	2	5	1	3					
Clients or customers	35	15	3	6	2	4					
Competitors within the same industry	25	8	1	2	1	2					
Consultants	24	4	0	1	0	1					
Commercial laboratories/R&D enterprises	16	5	1	1	0	1					
Universities or higher education institutes	35	7	1	2	0	1					
Government or non-profit research institutes	21	4	0	1	0	1					

Table 5: Incidence of innovation co-operation in the EU, by sector and by size-class, 1998-2000

			Industry				Services			
	Number of					Number of				
	enter-	Proportion	of enterp	rises indica	ting that	enter-	Proportion	of enterp	rises indica	ting that
	prises	they had	co-operatio	on agreeme	ents (%)	prises	they had o	co-operatio	on agreeme	ents (%)
	(units)	All	Small	Medium	Large	(units)	All	Small	Medium	Large
Enterprises with	129 995	17	11	22	61	71 284	22	18	29	47
innovation activity										
Successful innovators	121 967	18	11	23	62	64 400	22	18	30	47
Product only	27 146	20	14	29	68	20 333	21	18	32	40
Process only	23 739	9	5	20	51	8 725	21	17	31	42
Product and process	71 082	19	12	21	62	35 341	23	19	29	49
On-going and/or abandoned	8 028	12	8	19	42	6 884	17	16	18	51



4. Intramural research and development (R&D)

As part of the CIS3 survey, enterprises were asked if they had intramural research and development (R&D) activities. For those enterprises that answered positively to this question, data were compiled on the number of persons involved in intramural R&D in 2000. for persons inside and outside the R&D department. enterprises' Furthermore information concerning the nature of the enterprise's engagement in R&D during the period 1998-2000 was collected, distinguishing between continuous or occasional activity. Intramural R&D is defined as all creative work undertaken within the enterprise to increase the stock of knowledge and the use of this stock to devise new products and improved and processes. Tables 6 and 7 below present results for enterprises with innovation activity.

Table 6 indicates that a higher share of industrial enterprises tended to be engaged continuously in intramural R&D than services enterprises. Indeed, almost a third of industrial enterprises did so, whereas the corresponding share for services enterprises was closer to a quarter.

The proportion of enterprises that were engaged in continuous R&D was much higher among large industrial enterprises (69%) than among small industrial enterprises (21 %). Shares were lower among enterprises in the services sector, at 44 % and 20 % respectively. Around a quarter of small and medium-sized industrial enterprises were engaged occasionally in intramural R&D, large industrial while among enterprises the share was 14 %.

Table 7 provides results broken down by type of innovator. It would appear that product and process innovators (41 %) and product only innovators (32 %) in the industrial sector were the most likely to engage continuously in R&D, while process only innovators were the least likely (8 %).

Among industrial enterprises, the highest share of enterprises occasionally engaged in intramural R&D was registered by enterprises with only on-going and/or abandoned innovation activity (28 %).

Table 6: Intramural research and development	(R&D) in the EU,	by sector and by size-class
--	------------------	-----------------------------

		Indus	stry		Services					
	Enterp	orises with in by size-	novation ac class	tivity,	Enterp	novation ac -class	tivity,			
	All	Small	Medium	Large	All	Small	Medium	Large		
Persons involved in intramural R&D, 2000 (full-time equivalents)	747 944	77 783	108 916	561 245	367 384	70 472	54 292	242 618		
Enterprises engaged in R&D continuously, 1998-2000 (%)	30	21	40	69	23	20	26	44		
Enterprises engaged in R&D occasionally, 1998-2000 (%)	24	24	26	14	19	20	16	17		

Table 7: Intramural research and development (R&D) in the EU, by sector and by type of innovator

			Industry					Services	6	
	Ent	<i>r</i> ity,	Ent	erprises by ty	with innov pe of inno	vation activ ovator	<i>v</i> ity,			
	۵	Prod.	Proc.	Product and	On-going and/or aban-	۵	Prod.	Proc.	Product and	On-going and/or aban-
Persons involved in intramural	747 944	:	:	592 093	:	367 384	:	:	294 576	:
R&D, 2000 (full-time equivalents)										
Enterprises engaged in R&D continuously, 1998-2000 (%)	30	32	8	41	23	23	22	:	34	:
Enterprises engaged in R&D occasionally, 1998-2000 (%)	24	25	19	25	28	19	19	:	21	:



5. Innovation funding

Questions on public funding were included as part of the CIS3 survey. Public funding is defined as financial support in terms of grants and loans, including a subsidy element, and loan guarantees.

The three types of public funding that were taken into account for the survey were: local or regional funds, central government funds and EU funds. The third of these headings was further investigated to identify funding from the 4^{th} or 5^{th} RTD (research and technological development) Framework programmes. These programmes covered all research activities funded the European by Commission during the periods 1994-1998 and 1998-2002 respectively, with budgets in excess of 13 billion Euros.

It is important to note that the total number of enterprises that received funding is lower than the sum of enterprises receiving the different types of funding, as multiple sources of funding are possible.

In the EU, during the period 1998-2000, more than 45 000 industrial enterprises with innovation activity received public funding. The corresponding figure for services enterprises was close to 14 000.

Table 8 presents the results with a breakdown by size-class. It appears that large enterprises with innovation activity were more likely to receive public funding than SMEs. Indeed, more than 50 % of large industrial enterprises received some form of funding, while 32 % of small and 35 % of medium-sized

enterprises did. The relative difference between size classes was smallest with respect to local or regional funding, and greatest for EU funding.

When looking at the results broken down by type of innovator, in table 9, it can be noted that all three types of successful innovators in industry generally benefited more often from public funding than enterprises with only on-going and/or abandoned innovation activity. Almost half of all industrial process only innovators received some form of funding, compared to around one third of the other types of industrial innovators.

Table 8: Public funding in the EU	among enterprises with innovation	activity, by size-class, 1998-2000
-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

			Industry				Services			
		F havinç	Proportion of g received	of enterprise public fundi	∺s ng (%)		F having	Proportion of received	of enterprise public fundir	⊧s ng (%)
	Absolute figures	All	Small	Medium	Large	Absolute figures	All	Small	Medium	Large
Received funding	45 128	35	32	35	57	13 727	19	18	21	26
Local or regional	22 704	17	18	15	22	7 718	11	11	12	12
Central government	23 859	18	15	21	41	6 116	9	8	10	16
EU	10 141	8	6	8	22	3 504	5	4	7	14
4th or 5th RTD	5 357	4	3	4	17	2 560	4	3	6	10

Table 9: Public funding in the EU among	enterprises with innovation	activity, by type of innovator,	1998-2000
---	-----------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------

				1		Serv	ices					
		Proportion of enterprises having received public funding (%)						ha	Propo ving rec	rtion of eived p	enterprises ublic fundin	3 1g (%)
					(On-going					(Dn-going
	1		1		Product	and/or		1			Product	and/or
	Absolute		Prod.	Proc.	and	aban-	Absolute	1	Prod.	Proc.	and	aban-
	figures	All	only	only	process	doned	figures	All	only	only	process	doned
Received funding	45 128	35	36	46	32	25	13 727	19	21	:	18	
Local or regional	22 704	17	18	25	16	8	7 718	11	11	:	9	:
Central government	23 859	18	19	20	18	13	6 116	9	9	:	9	:
EU	10 141	8	8	9	7	9	3 504	5	5	:	5	:
4th or 5th RTD	5 357	4	4	4	4	3	2 560	4	5	:	3	:



6. Human resources for innovation

The implementation of innovation activities is thought to be linked to the quality of human resources available to each enterprise. CIS3 clearly highlights a pattern between the level of education of the workforce and the propensity of enterprises to innovate.

Table 10 shows that across nearly all size classes and sectors, enterprises with innovation activity reported larger proportions of their workforce with a higher education than non-innovators.

Enterprises of all sizes in the services sector had a higher proportion of employees with a higher education than their counterparts in industrial activities whether the enterprise had some form of innovation activity or not.

The difference in the proportion of employees with a higher education between enterprises with and without innovation activity was largest among small enterprises in the services sector.



Within industry, the proportion of employees with a higher education was clearly linked to the average size of the enterprise: the larger the enterprise, the higher the proportion of employees that had attained a higher education. This observation held true for both enterprises with and without innovation activity. Note that the proportion of employees with a higher education in the industrial sector was usually at least 10 percentage points below the corresponding proportion reported for the services sector. Among enterprises in the services sector in contrast, smaller enterprises with innovation activity generally reported a larger proportion of employees with a higher education (26 %) than their medium-sized (24 %) and large (20 %) counterparts.

On the other hand, among enterprises without innovation activity in the services sector, it was large enterprises that had the highest proportion of employees with a higher education.

	Industry					Services				
	Employees with a higher education	Proporti hiç	ion of en gher educ	ployees w	ith a	Employees with a higher education	Proport	tion of en gher educ	ployees w	ith a
	(000)	All	Small	Medium	Large	(000)	All	Small	Medium	Large
All enterprises	1 739	8	5	7	10	2 732	20	18	20	20
Enterprises with innovation activity	1 472	9	7	8	11	2 080	21	26	24	20
Successful innovators	1 430	9	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
Product only	:	:	:	11	:	:	:	:	27	:
Process only	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
Product and process	1 050	10	6	6	11	1 548	22	30	27	21
On-going and/or abandoned	42	8	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
Enterprises without innovation activity	267	5	4	5	6	652	16	14	16	21

Table 10: Proportion of employees with a higher education, EU, 2000

Т



> ESSENTIAL INFORMATION - METHODOLOGICAL NOTES

The first Community Innovation Survey (CIS1) was carried out in 1993 and the second round (CIS2) in 1997/1998. The third round (CIS3) was implemented in 2000/2001. Norway, Iceland, Luxembourg and Greece launched it in 2002.

CIS3 covered the period 1998 to 2000; while the reference period was 1999 to 2001 for Norway. As in previous Community Innovation Surveys, CIS3 was based on the Oslo Manual (second edition from 1997) which gives methodological guidelines and defines basic innovation concepts. CIS3 data were collected using a common core questionnaire and survey methodology developed by Eurostat in close cooperation with the EU Member States. The objective to have comparable, harmonised was and representative data on a pan-European scale. The Spanish data is based on an earlier version of the CIS3 questionnaire. The organisations responsible for CIS3 at a national level were in most cases the National Statistical Office or a government ministry.

TARGET POPULATION

The statistical unit in CIS3 was the enterprise. The target population was the population of enterprises with 10 employees and more. Industry is an aggregate of NACE Sections C to E and the services sector is an aggregate of the remaining NACE headings: NACE

Mining and quarrying	Section C
Manufacturing	Section D
Electricity, gas and water supply	Section E
Wholesale trade	Division 51
Transport, storage and communication	Section I
Financial intermediation	Section J
Computer and related activities	Division 72
Research and development	Division 73
Architectural and engineering activities	Group 74.2
Technical testing and analysis	Group 74.3

SURVEY METHOD

Innovation data from CIS3 was in most cases collected using a stratified sample survey. The samples in CIS3 were drawn by using random selection in each stratum, the latter being defined by a combination of employee size classes and NACE Divisions.

CIS3 data is based on answers from more than 60 000 enterprises spread across the EU Member States, Norway and Iceland. The response rates varied from as low as 22 % to over 80 %. The results presented are grossed-up figures for the whole population; the results of a non-response analysis may also have been taken into account by some countries.

CIS3 definitions Innovation

An *innovation* is a new or significantly improved product (good or service) introduced to the market or the introduction within an enterprise of a new or significantly improved process. Innovations are based on the results of new technological developments, new combinations of existing technology or the utilisation of other knowledge acquired by the enterprise.

Innovations may be developed by the innovating enterprise or by another enterprise; however, purely selling innovations wholly produced and developed by other enterprises is not included as an innovation activity.

Innovations should be new to the enterprise concerned; for product innovations they do not necessarily have to be new to the market and for process innovations the enterprise does not necessarily have to be the first to have introduced the process.

A *product innovation* is a product (good or service) which is either new or significantly improved with respect to its fundamental characteristics, technical specifications, incorporated software or other immaterial components, intended uses, or user friendliness. Changes of a solely aesthetic nature are not included.

A *process innovation* includes new and significantly improved production technology, methods of supplying services and of delivering products. The outcome (of the process) should be significant with respect to the level of output, quality of products or costs of production and distribution. Purely organisational or managerial changes are not included.

Enterprises with innovation activity

Enterprises that have had any kind of innovation activity during the survey period, i.e. have introduced or implemented new products and/or processes and/or have had on-going and/or abandoned innovation activity.

Successful innovators

Enterprises that have introduced or implemented new products and/or processes.

Size classes

The following size classes, based on the number of employees, were used for the compilation of aggregated results:

Small enterprises	10 to 49 employees
Medium-sized enterprises	50 to 249 employees
Large enterprises	250 or more employees

<u>Symbols</u>

: Not available.

The data used for this publication were extracted on 07/01/2004.



Further information:

Reference publications

Title Innovation in Europe (forthcoming)

Databases

NewCronos, Theme 9, Domain: innovat

To obtain information or to order publications, databases and special sets of data, please contact the Data Shop network:

DANMADK		FEDAÑA	FRANCE	
DANMARK Bibliotek og Information Eurostat Data Shop Sejrøgade 11 DK-2100 KØBENHAVN Ø TIf. (45) 39 17 30 30 Fax (45) 39 17 30 03 E-mail: bibliodet.dk URL:: http://www.dst.dk/bibliotek	DEDTSCHLAND STATISTISCHES BUNDESAMT Eurostat Data Shop Berlin Otto-Braun-Straße 70-72 (Eingang: Karl-Marx-Allee) D-10178 BERLIN Tel. (49) 1888-644 94 27/28 Fax (49) 1888-644 94 30 E-Mail: datashop@destatis.de URL:http://www.eu-datashop.de/	ESPANA INE Eurosta Data Shop Paseo de la Castellana, 183 Despacho 0118 Entrada por Estébanez Calderón E-28046 MADRID Tel. (34-91) 583 91 67/ 583 95 00 Fax (34-91) 583 91 67/ 583 95 00 Fax (34-91) 583 03 57 E-mail: datashop.eurosta@ine.es URL: http://www.datashop.org/	INSEE Info Service Eurostat Data Shop 195, rue de Bercy Tour Gamma A F-75582 PARIS CEDEX 12 Tél. (33-1) 53 17 88 44 Fax (33-1) 53 17 88 22 E-mail: datashop@insee.fr	ITALIA – Roma ISTAT Centro di Informazione Statistica Sede di Roma, Eurostat Data Shop Via Cesare Balbo, 11a I-00184 ROMA Tel. (39-06) 46 73 31 02/06 Fax (39-06) 46 73 31 01/07 E-mail: dipdif@istati.it URL: http://www.istat.it/Prodotti- e/Allegati/Eurostatdatashop.html
ITALIA – Milano	NEDERLAND	NORGE	PORTUGAL	SCHWEIZ/SUISSE/SVIZZERA
ISTAT Ufficio Regionale per la Lombardia Eurostat Data Shop Via Fieno 3 I-20123 MILANO Tel. (39-02) 80 61 32 460 Fax (39-02) 80 61 32 304 E-mail: mileuro@tin.it URL: http://www.istat.it/Prodotti- e/Allegati/Eurostat/atashop.html	STATISTICS NETHERLANDS Eurostat Data Shop-Voorburg Postbus 4000 2270 JM VOORBURG Nederland Tel. (31-70) 337 49 00 Fax (31-70) 337 59 84 E-mail: datashop@cbs.nl	Statistics Norway Library and Information Centre Eurostat Data Shop Kongens gate 6 Boks 8131 Dep. N-0033 OSLO Tel. (47) 2109 46 24243 Fax (47) 2109 45 04 E-mail: Datashop@sb.no URL: http://www.ssb.no/biblioteket/datashop/	Eurostat Data Shop Lisboa INE/Serviço de Difusão Av. António José de Almeida, 2 P-1000-043 LISBOA Tel. (351-21) 842 61 00 Fax (351-21) 842 63 64 E-mail: data.shop@ine.pt	Statistisches Amt des Kantons Zürich, Eurostat Data Shop Bleicherweg 5 CH-8090 Zürich Tel. (41-1)225 12 12 Fax (41-1)225 12 29 E-mail: datashop@statistik.zh.ch URL: http://www.statistik.zh.ch
SUOMI/FINLAND	SVERIGE	UNITED KINGDOM	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
STATISTICS FINLAND Eurostat Data Shop Helsinki Tilastokirjaso PL 28 Työpajakatu 13 B, 2 Kerros, Helsinki P, (358-9) 17 34 22 21 Sähköposti: datashop@stat.fi URL: http://www.tilastokeskus.fi/tk/kk/datashop/	STATISTICS SWEDEN Information service Eurostat Data Shop Karlavägen 100 - Box 24 300 S-104 51 STOCKHOLM Tfn (46-8) 50 69 48 01 Fax (46-8) 50 69 48 99 E-post: infoservice@scb.se URL:http://www.scb.se/tjanster/datasho p/datashop.asp	Eurostat Data Shop Office for National Statistics Room 1.015 Cardiff Road Newport South Wales NP10 8XG United Kingdom Tel. (44-1633) 81 33 69 Fax (44-1633) 81 33 33 E-mail: eurostat.datashop@ons.gov.uk	HAVER ANALYTICS Eurostat Data Shop 60 East 42nd Street Suite 3310 NEW YORK, NY 10165 USA Tel. (1-212) 986 93 00 Fax (1-212) 986 69 81 E-mail: eurodata@haver.com URL: http://www.haver.com/	

Media Support Eurostat (for protessional journalists only): Bech Building Office A4/017 • L-2920 Luxembourg • Tel. (352) 4301 33408 • Fax (352) 4301 35349 • e-mail: eurostat-mediasupport@cec.eu.int

For information on methodology :

Paul Crowley, Eurostat / B5, L-2920 Luxembourg, Tel. (352) 4301 36311, Fax (352) 4301 32600,

E-mail: Paul.Crowley@cec.eu.int

This document was produced in collaboration with Simon Allen and Andrew Redpath.

ORIGINAL: English

2 rue Mercier – L-2985 Luxembourg Tel. (352) 2929 42118 Fax (352) 2929 42709

URL: http://publications.eu.int E-mail: info-info-opoce@cec.eu.int

Please visit our web site at www.europa.eu.int/comm/eurostat/ for further information!

A list of worldwide sales outlets is available at the Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.

BELGIQUE/BELGIË – DANMARK – DEUTSCHLAND – GREECE/ELLADA – ESPAÑA – FRANCE – IRELAND – ITALIA – LUXEMBOURG – NEDERLAND – ÖSTERREICH PORTUGAL – SUOMI/FINLAND – SVERIGE – UNITED KINGDOM – ÍSLAND – NORGE – SCHWEIZ/SUISSE/SVIZZERA – BALGARIJA – CESKÁ REPUBLIKA – CYPRUS EESTI – HRVATSKA – MAGYARORSZÁG – MALTA – POLSKA – ROMÂNIA – RUSSIA – SLOVAKIA – SLOVENIA – TÜRKIYE – AUSTRALIA – CANADA – EGYPT – INDIA ISRAĚL – JAPAN – MALAYSIA – PHILIPPINES – SOUTH KOREA – THAILAND – UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Order form

I would like to subscribe to Statistics in focus (from 1.1.2003 to 31.12.2003): (for the Data Shop and sales office addresses see above)

All 9 themes (approximately 200 issues)

D Paper: EUR 240

Language required: DE DE EN DFR

Statistics in focus can be downloaded (pdf file) free of charge from the Eurostat web site. You only need to register. For other solutions, contact your Data Shop.

- Please send me a free copy of 'Eurostat mini-guide' (catalogue containing a selection of Eurostat products and services)
 Language required: D DE D EN FR
- I would like a free subscription to 'Statistical References', the information letter on Eurostat products and services Language required: DE DE R FR

	<i>b</i> •
🗖 Mr 🗖 Mrs	□ Ms
(Please use block capitals)	
Surname:	Forename:
Company:	_ Department:
Function:	
Address:	
Post code:	_ Town:
Country:	
Tel.:	_ Fax:
E-mail:	
Payment on receipt of invoice,	preferably by:
Bank transfer	
Visa Eurocard	
Card No:	Expires on:/
Please confirm your intra-Com	munity VAT number:
If no number is entered, VAT will b	e automatically applied. Subsequent
reimpursement will not be possible	2.

·····¥·····